arXiv:1402.1989v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 18 Dec 2015

Universal non-equilibrium states at the fractional quantum Hall edge

Ivan P. Levkivskyi
Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zurich, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland
Department of Physics, Harvard University, 17 Oxford Street, Cambridge MA 02138
(Dated: December 21, 2015)

Integrability of electron dynamics in one dimension is manifested by the non-equilibrium stationary states. They emerge near a point contact coupling two quantum Hall edges with different chemical potentials. I use the non-equilibrium bosonization to show that the effective temperature of such states at the fractional quantum Hall edge has a universal linear dependence on the current through the contact. In contrast, the temperature at eventual equilibrium scales as the square root of the power dissipating at the contact. I propose to use this distinction to detect these intriguing non-equilibrium states.

PACS numbers: 73.23.-b, 03.65.Yz

The two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) in the regime of quantum Hall (QH) effect is a fascinating example of a system exhibiting rich emergent physics [1, 2]. In this regime, observed in the strong magnetic field, electrons in the bulk of 2DEG form an incompressible liquid with elementary excitations having fractional charge and fractional statistics [2, 3]. At the same time, gapless chiral one-dimensional edge states [4] are present at the boundary of the 2DEG. These collective states, that could be viewed as incompressible deformations of the electron liquid, are similar to the optical beams. Such similarity has led to the emergence of a new subfield of condensed matter physics, electron optics [5].

The QH edge states can be described in the framework of the low-energy effective theory approach [6], where the effective Hamiltonian is constructed from the general considerations of locality and gauge invariance. Such approach has a great success in describing recent electron optics experiments in the regime of integer QH effect, where an integer number ν of Landau levels is filled with electrons [7, 9]. In particular, it has been fruitfully applied to strongly out-of-equilibrium situations, which take place in experiments on dephasing of the edge states [8] and on the energy relaxation at the QH edge [9, 10]. This progress is due to the recent development of the technique of non-equilibrium bosonization [11], which allows to treat Coulomb interactions non-perturbatively in systems far from equilibrium.

Non-equilibrium systems exhibit reach physical phenomena such as, e.g., pre-thermalization of cold atomic gases, driven out of equilibrium by a quench [12]. Another way of preparing a non-equilibrium state is coupling two equilibrium systems with different parameters. For instance, non-equilibrium QH edge states are typically created by bringing two edges with chemical potential difference $\Delta\mu$ close to each other, so that electrons can tunnel across the so formed quantum point contact (QPC). It has been found in Ref. [13] that there are two stages in the process of equilibration of such state at an integer QH edge. First, Coulomb interactions lead to relaxation to an intermediate non-equilibrium state, similar to the pre-thermalized states [12]. Then additional slower energy exchange mechanisms, such as, e.g., disorder, allow electrons to equilibrate to an actual Fermi distribution. This is manifested with an intermediate asymptotic in the energy distribution function of electrons downstream of a biased QPC, see Fig. 1. Note, that such behavior is a consequence of the integrability of dynamics of interacting one-dimensional electrons.



FIG. 1: (Color on-line) Illustration of the evolution of the energy distribution function at an integer QH edge. A biased QPC creates the non-equilibrium distribution function, sketched by the red curve. Due to interactions at the edge, it evolves to the intermediate distribution (black curve) at distances proportional to the velocity of edge excitations v. Finally, the distribution function equilibrates to the Fermi distribution (blue curve) at distances $L_{\rm eq} \gg v/\Delta\mu$, where additional mechanisms start to act [13].

Such intermediate energy distribution function has a power-law asymptotic in contrast to the exponential decay of a Fermi distribution [13]. However, a detection of the non-equilibrium states by measuring directly the distribution function might be intricate at typical values of tunnelling amplitude at the QPC, since the non-equilibrium distribution could be quantitatively similar to the Fermi distribution. One can overcome this obstacle by measuring a quantity which has qualitatively different behaviour in this state and at equilibrium. One of such quantities is the noise power of weak backscattering currents $j_{\rm bs}$ measured at a second, detector QPC [14], see Fig. 2:

$$S_{\rm bs} \equiv \int dt \langle j_{\rm bs}(t) j_{\rm bs}(0)\rangle. \qquad (1)$$

It has been studied in Ref. [15] in the case of integer QH effect, where the intermediate asymptotic manifests itself in a non-analytic behaviour $S_{\rm bs} \propto \Delta\mu|\tau|^2 \log|\tau|$ at small values of the tunnelling amplitude τ. In contrast, the noise power behaves as $S_{\rm bs} \propto \Delta\mu|\tau|$ if a true thermalization occurs.

In this Letter, I investigate the non-equilibrium states in case of the *fractional* QH effect. The physics of fractional QH edge is more rich, since the fundamental excitations are not electrons but anyons with fractional charge and statistics [3]. Indeed, I find that the phenomenon of intermediate stationary state takes place in this case as well, but its manifestations are more dramatic. I study the behavior of the effective temperature of edge states, measured by one of the following detectors: by a second QPC via the backscattering noise power as discussed above (see Fig. 2) and by a quantum antidot (QD) [9, 16] via QD level broadening (see Supplementary materials). Naïvely, one could think that these setups could be described using the perturbation theory in tunnelling at contacts. However, it has been shown in Ref. [17] that such perturbation theory is divergent and fails at zero temperature. Therefore, I resort to the non-equilibrium bosonization [11, 18] and show that it can be used for a *non-perturbative* treatment of the source QPC in the weak backscattering limit, where rare backscattering events of quasi-particles between edges have Poissonian statistics.

Using such approach, I find the central result of this Letter: the universal linear scaling of the effective temperature with the injected current,

$$\Theta_{\rm eff} \propto \langle I \rangle. \qquad (2)$$

This scaling does not depend on the filling factor and is not modified neither by interactions at the edge nor by interactions between the edge states and the detector. Moreover, it is drastically different from scaling that one expects after eventual equilibration of the edge states. Indeed, at equilibrium at the temperature Θ, the energy flux at the QH edge reads $\pi^2\Theta^2/12$, see Ref. [13]. Thus, the temperature of the edge states after a QPC where power $P = \Delta\mu\langle I\rangle$ is dissipated behaves as:

$$\Theta \propto \sqrt{P}. \qquad (3)$$

Such dependence is qualitatively different from (2) in the situation of fractional QH effect, where current-voltage characteristics of the QPC are highly non-linear. In addition, I clarify the reason for the perturbative divergence of noise mentioned above, it is the behavior of the noise power $S_{\rm bs} \propto |\tau|^{4\delta-2}$, that is not-analytic for the fractional values of the quasi-particle correlation function scaling dimension δ.

In my analysis I focus on the $\nu = 2/m$ series of fractional QH states which are now extensively studied experimentally [16, 19, 20]. An interesting feature of these



FIG. 2: (Color on-line) Experimental setup that can be used to detect the non-equilibrium stationary states at the QH edge. The 2DEG in the regime of fractional QH effect is shown by gray shadow. For a particular situation with two edge modes of opposite chiralities the left- and right-moving edge modes are shown by black and blue lines. The left, upper, and right edges in the setup are labeled by indexes $s = L, U, R$. The chemical potential of left edge is $\mu_L = \Delta\mu$, while $\mu_U = \mu_R = 0$. Tunnelling coupling between edge states are shown by red dashed lines. The source QPC creates a non-equilibrium state at the upper edge, the effective temperature of which is measured via the backscattering noise $S_{\rm bs}$ at the second, detector, QPC.

series is the presence of neutral upstream modes. Such modes have been predicted theoretically in Ref. [21] and experimentally detected recently [20]. They are interesting for two reasons: First, there is no average detector current, if the detector is upstream of the injection QPC, which makes the measurement of the effective temperature more simple. Second, there is an opportunity to use the two-QPC setup to distinguish effective models with different values of coupling of quasi-particle excitations to the neutral mode.

Effective theory of the edge states.— It has been shown in Ref. [22] that general constraints of locality and gauge invariance allow one to construct an effective theory of the QH edge states at energies much lower than the Fermi energy. Such theory, however, contains arbitrary parameters, that can be fixed experimentally or from microscopic calculations. Different realizations of these parameters are referred to as different effective models. In the minimal (i.e. simplest possible) effective models, the QH edge states at filling factors $\nu = 2/m$ with odd m are described by boson fields $\phi_{s\alpha}(x,t)$, where $s = L, U, R$ enumerates the three edges in the experimental setup, left, upper and right, and $\alpha = 0, 1$ enumerates the edge channels at each edge, see Fig. 2. These fields have canonical commutation relations:

$$[\partial_x\phi_{s\alpha}(x), \phi_{s'\beta}(y)] = 2\pi i(-1)^\alpha\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ss'}\delta(x-y), \qquad (4)$$

where a different sign for $\alpha = 1$ reflects the opposite chirality of the corresponding channel. The charge densities at each edge in the system of units where $e = \hbar = 1$ are given by

$$\rho_s(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{2\pi}[\cosh\theta\cdot\partial_x\phi_{s0}(x) + \sinh\theta\cdot\phi_{s1}(x)], \qquad (5)$$

where θ is the first parameter that could not be fixed at

the level of effective theory and describes the strength of interactions at the edge [23, 24].

The Hamiltonian of the setup depicted in the upper panel of Fig. 2 contains several terms $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + (A + A' + \text{h.c.})$, where the first term describes the bare chiral dynamics of the edge excitations:

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{s\alpha} \frac{v_\alpha}{4\pi} \int dx [\partial_x \phi_{s\alpha}(x)]^2. \tag{6}$$

Other terms describe weak backscattering at the two QPCs:

$$A = \tau \sum_\sigma e^{i[\eta_{L\sigma}(0) - \eta_{U\sigma}(0)]},\ A' = \tau' \sum_\sigma e^{i[\eta_{U\sigma}(D) - \eta_{R\sigma}(D)]}, \tag{7}$$

where $\sigma = \pm$ enumerates the two types of local quasi-particle excitations with minimal charge $e^* = 1/m$ present in the model [23]:

$$\eta_{s\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[\Big(\frac{\cosh\theta}{\sqrt{m}} + \sigma \frac{\sinh\theta}{\sqrt{n}} \Big) \phi_{s0}(x) + \Big(\frac{\sinh\theta}{\sqrt{m}} + \sigma \frac{\cosh\theta}{\sqrt{n}} \Big) \phi_{s1}(x) \Big], \tag{8}$$

where the odd number n is the second parameter that could not be fixed at the level of effective theory. The question of which particular value of n corresponds to actual experimental conditions could be answered either using microscopic ab initio calculations or experimentally [23]. Below I show that the experimental setups discussed in this Letter are also good tools for such task.

The equilibrium correlation function at temperature Θ and chemical potential μ_s of quasi-particles in the effective theory described by (4) and (6) is given by

$$\langle e^{i\eta_{s\sigma}(x,t)} e^{-i\eta_{s\sigma}(x,0)} \rangle \propto \frac{\Theta^{\delta_\sigma} e^{i\mu_s t/e^*}}{[\sin \pi\Theta(it+0)]^{\delta_\sigma}}, \tag{9a}$$

$$\delta_\sigma = \frac{1}{2mn} \Big[(m+n)\cosh 2\theta + 2\sigma\sqrt{mn}\sinh 2\theta \Big]. \tag{9b}$$

Consequently, the injection current in the weak tunnelling limit, given by the Kubo formula $\langle I \rangle = e^* \int dt \langle [A^\dagger(t), A(0)] \rangle$, at low temperatures reads:

$$\langle I \rangle \propto |\tau|^2 \Delta\mu^{2\delta-1}, \quad \delta = \min(\delta_+, \delta_-). \tag{10}$$

Note that the scaling exponent δ depends on the mixing angle θ. This is an obstacle in the experimental identification of the topological parameter n, This also requires me to find a signature of the non-equilibrium stationary state that does not require the knowledge of the interactions strength. I show that the effective temperature measured in the two proposed schemes is not sensitive to the scaling δ at all. Therefore, without loss of generality, I focus on the situation of strong interactions $\theta = 0$, where one of the modes is completely neutral, see Eq. (5). I consider this situation to be the most relevant in view of the recent observation of neutral edge modes [20].

Noise power.— The backscattering currents operator at the detector QPC is given by a commutator of the total charge at the $s = R$ edge with the total Hamiltonian. The result is $j_{\text{bs}} = ie^*(A' - A'^\dagger)$, so that in the leading order in τ', the noise power (1) of these currents is given by $S_{\text{bs}} = (e^*)^2 \int dt \langle \{ A'^\dagger(t), A'(0) \} \rangle$. Using Eq. (7) I find that the noise power is determined by the correlation functions of the quasi-particle operators:

$$S_{\text{bs}} = 2(e^*)^2 |\tau'|^2 \sum_\sigma \int dt \langle e^{-i\eta_{U\sigma}(D,t)} e^{i\eta_{U\sigma}(D,0)} \rangle \times \langle e^{i\eta_{R\sigma}(D,t)} e^{-i\eta_{R\sigma}(D,0)} \rangle. \tag{11}$$

At equilibrium, the correlation function is given by Eq. (9a) and the integral in Eq. (11) evaluates to

$$S_{\text{bs}} \propto \Theta^{2\delta-1}, \tag{12}$$

where the quasi-particle's scaling dimension at $\theta = 0$ is $\delta = 1/2m + 1/2n$. Thus, I define the effective temperature as $\Theta_{\text{eff}} \equiv S_{\text{bs}}^{1/(2\delta-1)}$, i.e., as a value that such QPC thermometer would show while probing an arbitrary state. Note, that I assume that $\tau' \ll \tau$, so that the noise generated by the detector QPC is small, i.e., that such thermometer perturbs the state weakly.

Assuming zero base temperature, the correlation function for $s = R$ is evaluated over the ground state $\langle e^{i\eta_{R\sigma}(D,t)} e^{-i\eta_{R\sigma}(D,0)} \rangle \propto (it+0)^{-\delta}$. As discussed above, the perturbative theory is divergent and one needs to find a non-perturbative expression for the correlation function at the upper, $s = U$, edge in a non-equilibrium state created by the backscattering processes at the source QPC. This could be done using the non-equilibrium bosonization technique proposed in Ref. [11]. In this technique, the boson fields $\eta_{U\sigma}$ are expressed in terms of the backscattering currents at the source QPC by solving the equations of motion $\partial_t \eta_{U\sigma} = i[\mathcal{H}_0, \eta_{U\sigma}]$ generated by Hamiltonian (6) with the boundary conditions at $x = 0$:

$$\partial_t \eta_{U\sigma}(0,t) = 2\pi j_{U\sigma}(t). \tag{13}$$

In the situation I consider, the dynamics of edge fields is given by $\eta_{U\sigma}(D,t) = \eta^{\text{eq}}_{U\sigma}(D,t) + 2\pi\sigma e^* \big[N_+(t - D/v_1) - N_-(t - D/v_1) \big]$, where $e^* N_\sigma(t) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^t \big[j_{U\sigma}(t') - j^{\text{eq}}_{U\sigma}(t') \big]$ are the operators of the number of quasiparticles, see Fig. 3. At large times, the numbers N_σ could be considered classical fluctuating quantities and the correlation function factorizes

$$\langle e^{-i\eta_{U\sigma}(D,t)} e^{i\eta_{U\sigma}(D,0)} \rangle \propto \chi(t)(it+0)^{-\delta}, \tag{14}$$

where $\chi(t)$ is the purely non-equilibrium contribution expressed via the full counting statistics generator [25] of the quasi-particles' backscattering process:

$$\chi(t) = \prod_\sigma \chi_\sigma(2\pi\sigma/m, t),\ \chi_\sigma(\lambda, t) \equiv \langle e^{i\lambda N_\sigma(t)} e^{-i\lambda N_\sigma(0)} \rangle.$$

Although in general the full counting statistics generator $\chi_\sigma(\lambda,t)$ is a complex quantity, two important simplifications arise in the limit of weak backscattering: First, all the cumulants of quasi-particle numbers for the rare, Poissonian, process are equal to the average number [26] of backscattered particles $\langle\langle N^p_{U\sigma}\rangle\rangle \equiv \partial^p_{i\lambda}\log\chi_\sigma(\lambda,t)|_{\lambda=0} = \langle I\rangle|t|/2e^*$. Note that factor 2 appears since we have two flavours of quasi-particles that both contribute to the current $\langle I\rangle$. Thus, the non-equilibrium part of the correlation function is given by

$$\log\chi(t) \simeq \frac{\langle I\rangle|t|}{e^*}[\cos(2\pi/m)-1], \quad \Delta\mu|t| \gg 1. \qquad (15)$$

Second, the main contribution to integral (11) comes from large times $\sim 1/\langle I\rangle$, exactly where backscattering could be considered a classical Markovian process and result (15) is valid. Indeed, correlation time for tunnelling across QPC is $\sim 1/\Delta\mu$ [30], so that if the backscattering probability $T = \langle I\rangle/(\Delta\mu/2\pi m)$ is small, then contribution comes from times that are much larger than the correlation time. Note that asymptotic Eq. (15) is insensitive to any complicated details of backscattering at the fractional QH edge.

Substituting expression (15) back in Eq. (14) and then in Eq. (11) I arrive at the result $S_{\rm bs} \propto \langle I\rangle^{2\delta-1}$, so that comparing it to Eq. (12) I indeed get the behavior (2) independently of the scaling dimension δ. An important remark is in order. Note that scaling of the backscattering noise power with the voltage bias at the injection QPC, $S_{\rm bs} \propto \Delta\mu^{(2\delta-1)^2}$, differs from scaling of the current (10) or of the equilibrium noise (12). This means that it would be easier to experimentally distinguish different but close values of δ given by different effective models by measuring this non-equilibrium scaling.

One may think that the behavior of the effective temperature found above is only specific of a particular QPC-based detection scheme. Therefore I also show that a QD-based detection scheme leads to exactly the same result, see Supplementary materials [27–29]. Moreover, one can speculate that such behavior is quite general for the situation of a weakly coupled thermometer. Indeed, such thermometer requires long time to measure the effective temperature and therefore it should be sensitive only to the long time asymptotic of the correlation functions at the edge, while the latter depend only on the average current in the universal manner, see Eq. (15).

Discussion of results.— I show that the non-equilibrium state created by coupling two fractional QH edges relaxes through an intermediate stationary state that is qualitatively different from an equilibrium one. Namely, the non-equilibrium bosonization approach allows to reduce the complex problem of non-perturbative treatment of fractional QH edge states to evaluating full counting statistics of a partitioned QPC, and ultimately to a simple Poissonian process, see Fig. 3. Universal behavior of Poissonian statistics leads to exponential decay of the correlation function (15) similar to equilibrium one. That, in turn, leads to a possibility to express the the noise power and the level broadening generated by intermediate state in terms of an effective temperature which has a linear dependence on the injected current.



FIG. 3: (Color on-line) Illustrations for the non-equilibrium bosonization method and results. *Left panel:* Notations for the current operators at the upper edge near the injection QPC. The total currents $j_{U\sigma}(t)$ are represented as a sum of the equilibrium incoming contributions $j^{\rm eq}_{U\sigma}(t)$ and the tunnelling currents, the latter are expressed in terms of operators of the number of quasiparticles $N_\sigma(t)$ which could be treated as classical stochastic quantities in the long-time limit. *Right panel:* Correlation time of quasi-particles at the edge is $\propto 1/\Delta\mu$, quasi-particles are independently backscattered with a small probability T resulting in a Poissonian process with the average number of backscattered particles $\propto T\Delta\mu|t|$ on a time interval t.

Main physical consequence of these results is an experimental way to detect the pre-thermalization type phenomena [12] for fractional QH edge states, and essentially in arbitrary Luttinger liquid type system. In addition, the obtained results show that the measurement of scaling of the backscattering noise with the bias in such states can be used to efficiently distinguish effective models of QH edge states.

Acknowledgements.— I am grateful to I. Chernii, B.I. Halperin, B. Rosenow, A.O. Slobodeniuk, and E.V. Sukhorukov for several fruitful discussions and acknowledge the support of the Swiss NSF.

[1] K. v. Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 494 (1980).
[2] *The Quantum Hall Effect*, edited by R.E. Prange and S.M. Girvin (Springer, New York, 1987).
[3] R.B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1395 (1983).
[4] B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B **25**, 2185 (1982).
[5] See, e.g., E. Bocquillon *et al.*, Annalen der Physik **526**, 1 (2014) and references therein.
[6] X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 12838 (1990); J. Fröhlich, A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B**364**, 517 (1991).
[7] E. Bocquillon *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 196803 (2012).
[8] Y. Ji *et al.*, Nature (London) **422**, 415 (2003); I. Neder *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 016804 (2006); P. Roulleau *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 126802 (2008); L.V. Litvin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B**78**, 075303 (2008); E. Bieri *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B**79**, 245324 (2009).
[9] C. Altimiras *et al.*, Nature Physics **6**, 34 (2010); H. le Sueur *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 056803 (2010); C.

Altimiras *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 226804 (2010).
[10] M.G. Prokudina *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 216402 (2014).
[11] I.P. Levkivskyi, E.V. Sukhorukov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 036801 (2009).
[12] See, e.g., A. Polkovnikov *et al.* Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 863 (2011) and references therein.
[13] I.P. Levkivskyi, E.V. Sukhorukov, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 075309 (2012).
[14] I. Neder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 186404 (2012).
[15] I.P. Levkivskyi, E.V. Sukhorukov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 246806 (2012).
[16] V. Venkatachalam *et al.*, Nature Physics **8**, 676 (2012).
[17] C. L. Kane, M.P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 045307 (2003).
[18] S. Ngo-Dinh, D.A. Bagrets, and A.D. Mirlin, Ann. of Phys. **327**, 2794 (2012).
[19] A. Bid *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 236802 (2009).
[20] A. Bid *et al.*, Nature **466**, 585, (2010).
[21] C.L. Kane, M.P.A. Fisher and J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 4129 (1994).
[22] J. Fröhlich, U.M. Studer, and E. Thiran, J. Stat. Phys. **86**, 821 (1997).
[23] I.P. Levkivskyi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 045319 (2009).
[24] Note that there is a particularly simple interpretation of this parameter in the situation of integer QH effect, where it plays the role of an angle of rotation between the bases of Landau levels and of edge plasmon eigenmodes.
[25] L.S. Levitov, H. Lee, and G.B. Lesovik, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 4845 (1996).
[26] A. Papoulis, S.U. Pillai, *Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes*, 4th Edition (McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001).
[27] E. Weisz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 250401 (2012).
[28] I. Chernii, I.P. Levkivskyi, E.V. Sukhorukov, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 245123 (2014).
[29] K. D. Schotte, U. Schotte, Phys. Rev. 182, 479 (1969); A. Imambekov, T.L. Schmidt, L.I. Glazman, Rev. Mod. Phys **84**, 1253 (2012).
[30] Mathematically, this stems from the oscillatory factors $e^{i\Delta\mu t/e^*}$ that is present in correlation function Eq. (9a) and in all higher-order correlators, since such factors will cut-off arbitrary time integrals, see also Ref. [25].

Supplementary Materials

To check the universality of the results found in the main text, I consider the second measurement scheme depicted in Fig. 4. The effective temperature is measured as the width of the levels of a QD located upstream of the injecting QPC. For simplicity, I consider only one QD level, so that its Hamiltonian is $\mathcal{H}_{\rm QD} = \bar{\epsilon}_0 \mathbf{d}^\dagger \mathbf{d}$. It has been shown experimentally [27], that the Coulomb interactions of the edge states with this QD level could be strong and can not be neglected. The Hamiltonian of these interactions is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm int} = \sum_{s=U,R} \int dx U_s(x) \rho_s(x) \mathbf{d}^\dagger \mathbf{d}, \tag{16}$$

where $U_s(x)$ are screened Coulomb potentials. Such interaction term can be removed by an unitary transformation [28, 29] $\mathcal{H} \to S\mathcal{H}S^\dagger$ with

$$S = \exp[i v_0^{-1} \sum_s \int dx U_s(x) \rho_s(x) \mathbf{d}^\dagger \mathbf{d}]. \tag{17}$$

At the same time, assuming short range interactions $U_s(x) = U_s \delta(x - D)$, the tunnelling amplitudes after such transformation read

$$\begin{aligned} A'_U &= \tau'_U \sum_\sigma \mathbf{d}^\dagger \exp\left[-i g_R \phi_{R0}(D)/\sqrt{2m}\right] \\ &\times \exp\left[i(1-g_U)\phi_{U0}(D)/\sqrt{2m} + i\sigma\phi_{U1}(D)/\sqrt{2n}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{18a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A'_R &= \tau'_R \sum_\sigma \mathbf{d}^\dagger \exp\left[-i g_U \phi_{U0}(D)/\sqrt{2m}\right] \\ &\times \exp\left[i(1-g_R)\phi_{R0}(D)/\sqrt{2m} + i\sigma\phi_{R1}(D)/\sqrt{2n}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{18b}$$

The dimensionless couplings $g_s \equiv U_s/\pi v_0$ take values between 0 and 1 and have physical meaning of charges in units of e^* accumulated at the corresponding channels due to interactions with the QD. As well, the energy $\bar{\epsilon}_0$ is renormalized [28] to $\epsilon_0 = \bar{\epsilon}_0 + \sum_s \int dx U_s^2(x)/v_0$.

There are two regimes in which level broadening is dominated by one of the two sources: First, quantum, source is tunnelling of the quasi-particles to and from the QD. Second, classical, source is the interaction with the non-equilibrium charge fluctuations, which "shake" the QD level. I focus on the situation of classical level broadening, where the QD is almost totally incoherent and can be described by a master equation [28]. The classical regime is realized if $G' \ll G \ll 1/2\pi$, where G is the conductance of the injecting QPC, and G' is the conductance of the detector. This is exactly the regime where thermometer perturbs the state weakly. Level broadening in the classical regime can be found by studying the rate of tunnelling of quasi-particles from the upper channel to the QD, which is given by $\Gamma \equiv \int dt \langle A'^\dagger_U(t) A'_U(0)\rangle$

in the leading order in τ'. Using Eq. (18) I find that the tunnelling rate is given by

$$\Gamma \propto \int dt \chi(t) e^{i\epsilon_0 t} (it+0)^{-\delta'}, \tag{19}$$

where $\delta' = [g_R^2 + (1-g_U)^2]/2m + 1/2n$, and the contour of integration goes as shown in Fig. 4, middle panel.

Evaluating the integral, I find the tunnelling rate

$$\Gamma(\epsilon_0) \propto \mathrm{Re}[i(\epsilon_0 + i\Delta\epsilon_0)^{\delta'-1}], \tag{20}$$

where $\Delta\epsilon_0 = \langle I \rangle [\cos(2\pi/m) - 1]/e^*$. A schematic plot of the rate (20) for the case of strong interaction is shown in Fig. 4, right panel. It is not universal and depends on the interaction strength via couplings g_s. However, the level broadening $\Delta\epsilon_0$ itself has a universal linear dependence on the current so that the effective temperature is given by Eq. (2) again. This result serves as an additional argument in favor of the general character of relation (2) and shows that it is not a property of a particular detection scheme.



FIG. 4: (Color on-line) Details of an alternative measurement scheme for the effective temperature. *Upper panel:* While QPC is used as a source of noise, the detector QPC is now replaced with a QD coupled to the non-equilibrium upper edge. Effective temperature can be measured as the level broadening of this QD. *Left panel:* The contour of integration in time integral of Eq. (19) for the rate of tunnelling of quasi-particles to the QD is shown by blue line. The branch cut of the quasi-particle correlation functions goes along the imaginary axis and is shown by the red line. *Right panel:* The tunnelling rate Γ of quasi-particles (20) as a function of the QD energy level ϵ_0 for the situation of strong interaction $g_U = g_R = 1/2$ shows the level broadening $\Delta\epsilon_0$.